

Exercise 1

The following sentences are ambiguous. Explain the source of the ambiguity, and propose, *when it's possible*, the two distinct representations that can be associated with those two sentences.

- (3)
- a. Every student is reading an article.
 - b. Sam likes wild cats and dogs.
 - c. All the participants did not enjoy the meal.
 - d. Paul should be in Buenos Aires.

Exercise 2

Translate as precisely as possible the following sentences into predicate logic. Please make sure that each formula has as many pairs of parentheses as there are binary operators.

- (4)
- a. No nurse appreciate a surgeon.
 - b. Everyone is looking for something that not everyone finds.
 - c. Exactly two persons came.
 - d. All students should declare their intership if they take one.

Exercise 3

The following sentences are characterized by the fact that the indefinite, under the scope of a universal quantification, is interpreted as universal. This situation is not surprising when one remembers the equivalence between $\forall x(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ and $(\exists x\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ (if ψ does not contain free occurrences of x). On the basis of this equivalence, propose for each of the following sentence two translations in fol.

- (5)
- a. Paul gets upset as soon as someone is noisy.
 - b. Everybody gets upset if someone is noisy.
 - c. All the tourists who visit Paris are rich.
 - d. All the tourists who visit Paris love it.
 - e. All the tourists who visit a city are rich.
 - f. All the tourists who visit a city love it. .
 - g. If a farmer owns a donkey, he beats it.
 - h. Everyone is marked by an unrequited love.